

Safety of Non-Invasive Median & Radial Nerve Stimulation

The concept of transcutaneous electrical stimulation of underlying nerves to treat chronic conditions has a rich history stemming back as far as 63 A.D., which matured with the advent of electrostatic devices in the 16th century. The first wearable transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) device emerged in 1974 to treat chronic pain, to the credit of American neurosurgeon Clyde Norman Shealy MD, PhD.³⁵ Since then, there have been many studies investigating the safety and efficacy of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation to treat a myriad of chronic disorders. Based off of these studies, TENS devices and other transcutaneous nerve stimulation devices have a demonstrated low significant adverse event rate and risk profile, regardless of stimulation location on the body (Table 1).

Stimulation Location	Indication	Study Duration	Adverse Events	Reported Event Rate Percent (%) (subjects/study population)	References
Stimulation Region: Head & Neck					
Neck (cervical vagus nerve)	Epilepsy	9 months	Hoarseness, headache, constipation	30% (3/10)	Stefan et al., 2012
	Cluster headache	1 month	Lip pull, skin irritation, metallic taste	26% (13/50)	Goadsby et al., 2018
	Cluster headache	3 months	Application site reaction (burning, tingling, skin irritation, etc.), lip pull, metallic taste	14% (18/128)	Silberstein et al., 2016
Ear (auricular vagus nerve)	Migraine	3 months	Mild or moderate pain, paresthesia, or pruritus during or after stimulation, and erythema, ulcer or scab	59% (27/46)	Straube et al., 2015
	Migraine	3 months	Application site reaction, nasopharyngitis, erythema pain, discomfort	18% (21/120)	Tassorelli et al., 2018
Face (trigeminal nerve)	Depression	2 months	Skin erythema and headache	20% (2/11)	Cook et al., 2013
Stimulation Region: Upper Body					
Hand (median, radial, ulnar nerves)	Carpal tunnel syndrome	1 month	n/a	0% (0/11)	Naeser et al., 2002
Back (spinal cord)	Diabetic neuropathy	1 month	Burning sensation	6% (1/18)	Kumar et al., 1997
	Paralysis	1 month	n/a	0% (0/6)	Gad et al., 2018
Stimulation Region: Lower Body					
Lower Leg (tibial cord)	Incontinence	3 months	Discomfort due to stimulation	2% (1/53)	Leroi et al., 2012
Knee (tibial, saphenous nerves)	Osteoarthritis	3 months	Transient rash	18% (7/39)	Garland et al., 2007

Table 1 Reported device related adverse events with non-invasive electrical stimulation methods to treat a variety of disorders.¹⁻¹¹


The Cala Trio™ device is a medical device that was designed for the delivery of transcutaneous electrical stimulation of the median and radial nerves in the wrist. This device is the first of its kind, with several studies that have demonstrated the clinical effectiveness of nerve stimulation devices to treat symptomatic hand tremor in patients with essential tremor (ET). To date, reported significant adverse events or unanticipated adverse device effects have not been observed in any of the clinical studies investigating the safety and efficacy of the Cala Trio™ or previous generation Cala devices.

In the first acute multicenter trial, the adverse event rate was low at 3% (3/93 subjects) and observed adverse events included significant and persistent skin irritation (including redness, itchiness, and/or swelling) in two subjects who received treatment and sensation of weakness or stinging pain in the wrist (Table 2). All adverse events were mild and resolved within 24 hours without treatment or sequelae.³⁴

Therapy	Adverse Event	Reported event rate (percent(%) subjects/study population)	References
Pharmacotherapy			
Beta-blockers	Bradycardia	3% (6/175) Arotinolol; 4% (1/25) and 6% (10/175) Propranolol	Lee et al., 2003; Koller et al., 1989
	Headache	13% (2/16) and 3% (6/175) Propranolol; 0.5% (1/175) Arotinolol	Lee et al., 2003; Calzetti et al., 1982
	Hypotension	57% (43/75) Propranolol; 15% (11/76) Clonidine	Serrano-Duenas et al., 2003
	Fatigue	31% (5/16) Propranolol; 19% (3/16) Metoprolol	Calzetti et al., 1982
Antiepileptics	Serious adverse events	4% (5/117) Topiramate	Ondo et al., 2006
	Dizziness	8% (2/25) Gabapentin; 11% (13/117) Topiramate; 22% (4/18) Gabapentin; 27% (3/11) Primidone; 11% (3/27) 20% (4/20) Pregabalin	Ondo et al., 2000,2006; Pahwa et al., 1998; Sasso et al., 1990; Zesiewicz et al., 2013; Ferrara et al. 1990
	Sedation	32% (6/19) 73% (8/11) Primidone	Gunal et al., 2000; Sasso et al., 1990
Anxiolytics & Antipsychotics	Constipation	17% (2/12) 73% Alprazolam	Huber et al., 1988
	Sedation or drowsiness	50% (6/12) Alprazolam; 87% (13/15) Clozapine	Huber et al., 1988; Ceravolo et al., 1999
Botulinum Toxin	Hand weakness	30% (13/43) Low dose; 70% (31/45) High dose	Brin et al., 2001
	Pain at injection site	5% (2/43) Low dose; 2% (1/45) High dose	Brin et al., 2001
Invasive Therapies			
DBS	Death	17% (8/46)	Borretzen et al., 2014
	Dysarthria	57% (4/7); 65% (17/26)	Baalzabal-Carvalho et al., 2013; Borretzen et al., 2014
	Lead breakage/failures	32% (6/19)	Blomstedt et al., 2007
Thalamotomy	Dysarthria	14% (3/21)	Zirh et al., 1999
	Weakness	8% (3/37)	Akbostanci et al., 1999
MRI-guided focused ultrasound	Gait ataxia/disturbances	28% (5/18)	Zaaroor et al., 2018
	Paresthesia or numbness	38% (21/56)	Elias et al., 2016
	Pin-site pain, edema or bruising	30% (17/56)	Elias et al., 2016
	Taste disturbance	5% (3/56); 22% (4/18)	Elias et al., 2016; Zaaroor et al., 2018
Stereotactic Radiosurgery	Death	19% (31/161)	Young et al., 2010
	Hemiparesis	3% (4/161)	Young et al., 2010
	Sensory loss	3% (5/161)	Young et al., 2010
Noninvasive Non-Pharmacotherapy Options			
Cala Trio™	Skin irritation, sensation of weakness, or stinging in the wrist	3% (3/93)	Pahwa et al., 2019

Table 2 Common treatment options for essential tremor and published adverse events and occurrence rates for each adverse event.¹²⁻³⁴

In the subsequent month-long study, there was an observed 11% (7/62) device-related (definite or possibly) adverse event rate. Observed events included significant and persistent skin irritation (1/62), significant discomfort (1/62), persistent pain from stimulation (1/62), wrist soreness from Velcro (1/62), pinky muscle contraction (1/62), electric shock sensation while using device (1/62), and a pulling/prickling sensation on the forehead (1/62). All adverse events resolved without sequelae and required only minimal intervention such as review of product labeling or reduction of stimulation amplitude.



The observed therapeutic response from median and radial nerve stimulation is achieved without the risks of surgical or pharmacological intervention, such as the risk of hemorrhage (5% reported rate) or infection (4% reported rate) with DBS implantation or other invasive procedures, or side effects from ET medications (*Table 1*). One of the more recent invasive FDA approved therapies to treat hand tremor in ET patients is focused ultrasound thalamotomy. While focused ultrasound thalamotomy is demonstrated to significantly reduce hand tremor (47% reduction after 3 months), Elias et al. reported the adverse event of 56 subjects who received thalamotomy; these adverse events included gait disturbance in 36% of patients and paresthesia or numbness in 38% of patients.³²

Finally, additional TENS studies investigating the underlying physiological changes with transcutaneous electrical stimulation at the wrist in particular support the safety of chronic peripheral stimulation of the median and radial nerves at the level of the wrist. Two of these studies tested nerve integrity using motor and sensory nerve conduction tests on the median nerve, and showed that there was no significant change in motor or sensory nerve performance or conduction following stimulation.^{19, 37, 38} Taken together, these studies suggest that median and radial nerve stimulation has a low side effect profile as compared to current available therapies to treat hand tremor symptoms in ET.

References



1. Stefan H, Kreiselmeyer G, Kerling F, et al. Transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (tVNS) in pharmaco-resistant epilepsies: a proof of concept trial. *Epilepsia* 2012;53:e115-118.
2. Goadsby PJ, de Coo IF, Silver N, et al. Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation for the acute treatment of episodic and chronic cluster headache: A randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled ACT2 study. *Cephalalgia* 2018;38:959-969.
3. Silberstein SD, Mechtler LL, Kudrow DB, et al. Non-Invasive Vagus Nerve Stimulation for the ACute Treatment of Cluster Headache: Findings From the Randomized, Double-Blind, Sham-Controlled ACT1 Study. *Headache* 2016;56:1317-1332.
4. Straube A, Ellrich J, Eren O, Blum B, Ruscheweyh R. Treatment of chronic migraine with transcutaneous stimulation of the auricular branch of the vagal nerve (auricular t-VNS): a randomized, monocentric clinical trial. *J Headache Pain* 2015;16:543.
5. Tassorelli C, Grazi L, de Tommaso M, et al. Noninvasive vagus nerve stimulation as acute therapy for migraine: The randomized PRESTO study. *Neurology* 2018;91:e364-e373.
6. Cook IA, Schrader LM, Degiorgio CM, Miller PR, Maremont ER, Leuchter AF. Trigeminal nerve stimulation in major depressive disorder: acute outcomes in an open pilot study. *Epilepsy Behav* 2013;28:221-226.
7. Naeser MA, Hahn KA, Lieberman BE, Branco KF. Carpal tunnel syndrome pain treated with low-level laser and microamperes transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation: A controlled study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2002;83:978-988.
8. Kumar D, Marshall HJ. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy: amelioration of pain with transcutaneous electrostimulation. *Diabetes Care* 1997;20:1702-1705.
9. Leroi AM, Siproudhis L, Etienney I, et al. Transcutaneous electrical tibial nerve stimulation in the treatment of fecal incontinence: a randomized trial (CONSORT 1a). *Am J Gastroenterol* 2012;107:1888-1896.
10. Garland D, Holt P, Harrington JT, Caldwell J, Zizic T, Cholewczynski J. A 3-month, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of a highly optimized, capacitively coupled, pulsed electrical stimulator in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2007;15:630-637.
11. Gad P, Lee S, Terrafranca N, et al. Non-Invasive Activation of Cervical Spinal Networks after Severe Paralysis. *J Neurotrauma* 2018;35:2145-2158.
12. Lee KS, Kim JS, Kim JW, Lee WY, Jeon BS, Kim D. A multicenter randomized crossover multiple-dose comparison study of arotinolol and propranolol in essential tremor. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2003;9:341-347.
13. Koller WC, Vetere-Overfield B. Acute and chronic effects of propranolol and primidone in essential tremor. *Neurology* 1989;39:1587-1588.
14. Calzetti S, Findley LJ, Perucca E, Richens A. Controlled study of metoprolol and propranolol during prolonged administration in patients with essential tremor. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1982;45:893-897.
15. Serrano-Duenas M. Clonidine versus propranolol in the treatment of essential tremor. A double-blind trial with a one-year follow-up. *Neurologia*. 2003;18(5):248-254.
16. Ondo WG, Jankovic J, Connor GS, et al. Topiramate in essential tremor: a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Neurology* 2006;66:672-677.
17. Ondo W, Hunter C, Vuong KD, Schwartz K, Jankovic J. Gabapentin for essential tremor: a multiple-dose, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Mov Disord* 2000;15:678-682.
18. Pahwa R, Lyons K, Hubble JP, et al. Double-blind controlled trial of gabapentin in essential tremor. *Mov Disord* 1998;13:465-467.
19. Sasso E, Perucca E, Fava R, Calzetti S. Primidone in the long-term treatment of essential tremor: a prospective study with computerized quantitative analysis. *Clin Neuropharmacol* 1990;13:67-76.
20. Zesiewicz TA, Sullivan KL, Hinson V, et al. Multisite, double-blind, randomized, controlled study of pregabalin for essential tremor. *Mov Disord* 2013;28:249-250.

References



21. Ferrara JM, Kenney C, Davidson AL, Shinawi L, Kissel AM, Jankovic J. Efficacy and tolerability of pregabalin in essential tremor: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover trial. *J Neurol Sci* 2009;285:195-197.
22. Gunal DI, Afsar N, Bekiroglu N, Aktan S. New alternative agents in essential tremor therapy: double-blind placebo-controlled study of alprazolam and acetazolamide. *Neurol Sci* 2000;21:315-317.
23. Huber SJ, Paulson GW. Efficacy of alprazolam for essential tremor. *Neurology* 1988;38:241-243.
24. Ceravolo R, Salvetti S, Piccini P, Lucetti C, Gambaccini G, Bonuccelli U. Acute and chronic effects of clozapine in essential tremor. *Mov Disord* 1999;14:468-472.
25. Brin MF, Lyons KE, Doucette J, et al. A randomized, double masked, controlled trial of botulinum toxin type A in essential hand tremor. *Neurology* 2001;56:1523-1528.
26. Borretzen MN, Bjerknes S, Sæhle T, et al. Long-term follow-up of thalamic deep brain stimulation for essential tremor-patient satisfaction and mortality. *BMC Neurol* 2014;14:120.
27. Baizabal-Carvallo JF, Kagnoff MN, Jimenez-Shahed J, Fekete R, Jankovic J. The safety and efficacy of thalamic deep brain stimulation in essential tremor: 10 years and beyond. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2014;85:567-572.
28. Blomstedt P, Hariz GM, Hariz MI, Koskinen LO. Thalamic deep brain stimulation in the treatment of essential tremor: a long-term follow-up. *Br J Neurosurg* 2007;21:504-509.
29. Zirh A, Reich SG, Dougherty PM, Lenz FA. Stereotactic thalamotomy in the treatment of essential tremor of the upper extremity: reassessment including a blinded measure of outcome. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1999;66:772-775.
30. Akbostanci MC, Slavin KV, Burchiel KJ. Stereotactic ventral intermedial thalamotomy for the treatment of essential tremor: results of a series of 37 patients. *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg* 1999;72:174-177.
31. Zaaroor M, Sinai A, Goldsher D, Eran A, Nassar M, Schlesinger I. Magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound thalamotomy for tremor: a report of 30 Parkinson's disease and essential tremor cases. *J Neurosurg* 2018;128:202-210.
32. Elias WJ, Lipsman N, Ondo WG, et al. A Randomized Trial of Focused Ultrasound Thalamotomy for Essential Tremor. *N Engl J Med* 2016;375:730-739.
33. Young RF, Li F, Vermeulen S, Meier R. Gamma Knife thalamotomy for treatment of essential tremor: long-term results. *J Neurosurg* 2010;112:1311-1317.
34. Pahwa R, Dhall R, Ostrem J, et al. An Acute Randomized Controlled Trial of Noninvasive Peripheral Nerve Stimulation in Essential Tremor. *Neuromodulation* 2019.
35. Johnson M. Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation: Mechanisms, Clinical Application and Evidence. *Rev Pain* 2007;1:7-11.
36. Lin PT, Ross EK, Chidester P, et al. Noninvasive neuromodulation in essential tremor demonstrates relief in a sham-controlled pilot trial. *Mov Disord* 2018.
37. Koca I, Boyaci A, Tutoglu A, Ucar M, Kocaturk O. Assessment of the effectiveness of interferential current therapy and TENS in the management of carpal tunnel syndrome: a randomized controlled study. *Rheumatol Int* 2014;34:1639-1645.
38. Casale R, Damiani C, Maestri R, Wells CD. Pain and electrophysiological parameters are improved by combined 830-1064 high-intensity LASER in symptomatic carpal tunnel syndrome versus Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation. A randomized controlled study. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med* 2013;49:205-211.